

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 8594

09/486,558

04/13/2000

MIREILLE MAUBRU

05725.0555

08/18/2003

FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW **GARRETT & DUNNER** 1300 I STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

EINSMANN, MARGARET V

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1751

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Λ L
	Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)	U
		86,558	MAUBRU ET AL.	1
Office Action Summary	Exar	niner	Art Unit	
		aret Einsmann	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this com- Period for Reply	munication appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for - Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704:	IUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In communication. irty (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply reply will, by statute, cause the inths after the mailing date of	no event, however, may one statutory minimum of the and will expire SIX (6) MG and application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.
1) Responsive to communication	s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This acti	on is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in conclused in accordance with the				ne merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>20-24 and 26-79</u> is/are	e pending in the appli	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from	n consideration.		
5) Claim(s) 20,23 and 32-47 is/are	allowed.			ץ
6) Claim(s) <u>24,26,29,30,48-51,53-</u>	<u>69 <i>and 71-79</i></u> is/are r	ejected.		/
7) Claim(s) <u>21,22,27,28,31,52 and</u>	70 is/are objected to	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to re Application Papers	estriction and/or elect	ion requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/	are: a)□ accepted or	b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that an	y objection to the drawi	ng(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction	filed on is: a)	☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examir	ner.
If approved, corrected drawings a	e required in reply to the	nis Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected	ed to by the Examine	r.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None	of:			
 Certified copies of the price 	ority documents have	been received.		
2. Certified copies of the price	ority documents have	been received in	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copaphication from the Ir* See the attached detailed Office at a company to the co	nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla	im for domestic prior	ity under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	I application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreig 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla	*			
Attachment(s)	• ***	-	- -	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14)			w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Su	mmary	Part of Paper No. 28	

Application/Control Number: 09/486,558

Art Unit: 1751

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after a decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but before the filing of a Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or the commencement of a civil action. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 22, 2003 has been entered.

Claim 25 has been canceled. Claims 20-24, 2579 are pending.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 24,26,29,30,48-51,53-69,71-79 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,090,162. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are

Application/Control Number: 09/486,558 Page 3

Art Unit: 1751

not patentably distinct from each other because the patent claims compositions, methods of dyeing and dyeing kits comprising the dye of formula III, which is a 5-substituted 3,4-diaminopyrazole as claimed in the instant claims combined with at least one meta-phenol coupler as claimed. Applicant's preferred coupler, 3-amino-2-chloro-6-methylphenol is included in the couplers claimed by patentee, being specifically claimed in claim 7 of the patent.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 21,22,53 and 54 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 20 and 48. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). Claims 21 and 22 do not further limit the scope of the composition claimed in claim 20; claims 53 and 54 do not further limit the composition of claim 48.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 20,23,32-47 are allowed.

Claims 27,28,31,52,70 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Applicant has amended the claims to overcome the rejection of claims 20-47 as obvious over the combination of Claussen and Kalopissis as set forth in the examiner's answer. An updated search did not find any prior art to reject the pending claims.

Accordingly the above claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret Einsmann whose telephone number is 703-308-3826. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM -4:30 PM M-Th and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 703-308-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Application/Control Number: 09/486,558

Art Unit: 1751

Margaret Einsmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

August 8, 2003

Page 5